Article 104

Technical Note #34 from Wat. Prot. Techniques. 1(3): 126-128

The Risk of Groundwater Contamination from Infiltration of Stormwater Runoff

by Robert Pitt, Associate Professor, University of Alabama-Birmingham

Few pollutants ever disappear from the urban landscape. They are merely transferred from one medium to another—from air to land, from land to surface water, or from soil to groundwater. This last interaction is of great interest when it comes to the infiltration of urban stormwater. What is the risk that pollutants in urban stormwater might contaminate groundwater as a result of infiltration?

Infiltration is used as a technique to treat both the quality and quantity of urban runoff. It diverts runoff back into the ground in an attempt to replicate the normal hydrological cycle, whereby most rainfall infiltrates into the soil. Infiltrating runoff, rather than rainfall, can create some risks, particularly since runoff is likely to have picked up pollutants along the way.

To answer these questions, the University of Alabama-Birmingham and EPA Office of Research and Development embarked on a three-year cooperative study to define the nature of the potential risks to groundwater. Their preliminary results are shown in Table 1. The risk analysis is based on three key factors that influence a compound's movement into groundwater: its relative mobility, concentration and solubility. For example, a compound present at high concentration that is both mobile and soluble in soils and groundwater is a much greater risk than a relatively immobile and particulate-oriented compound.

The next stage of the risk assessment evaluates the ease of entry into groundwater. Typically, stormwater runoff is introduced to groundwater in one of three ways:

1. Sedimentation or filtration prior to infiltration into soils
2. Surface infiltration into soil
3. Subsurface injection into groundwater

An example of the first infiltration method would be a sedimentation chamber leading to an infiltration trench. In this instance, some compounds could be trapped in the sedimentation chamber and never enter the trench. A typical example of the second method is a grass swale without any pretreatment. Here, the compound percolates through the surface soils before reaching groundwater. Depending on the distance, the compound may be adsorbed and fixed onto soil. The last infiltration method involves routing stormwater deep into the ground, such that it does not pass through or come into contact with the soil layer. Consequently, there is little chance that a compound will be removed before it enters groundwater.

The analysis should only be used for an initial screening estimate of contamination potential because of its simplifying assumptions. These include the assumption that underlying soils are sandy and of low organic matter content, which represents a worse case scenario in many communities. Second, the values for a compound's abundance and solubility in runoff were derived from residential and commercial areas only. Urban hotspots, such as vehicle service operations and industrial areas, were not explicitly included in the analysis. Recent research indicates that these land uses may often have both higher concentrations and frequency of detection for many compounds (see Table 2).

The stormwater pollutants with the greatest potential for possible groundwater pollution are highlighted in Table 1 and include the following:

- *Nitrate-nitrogen.* This mobile compound has a low to moderate potential for groundwater contamination, but only because nitrate is generally found in relatively low concentrations in urban stormwater (1 to 3 mg/l).

- *Pesticides.* Lindane and Chlordane both have moderate contamination potential for surface infiltration or subsurface injection. The contamination potential can be greatly reduced, however, if runoff is pretreated before entering an infiltration facility.

- *Other organic compounds.* 1,3 dichlorobenzene, pyrene and fluoranthene all are predicted to have a high groundwater contamination potential for subsurface stormwater injection. Again, their contamination potential drops sharply for surface infiltration due to their sorption onto soils in the vadose zone. Thus, most organic compounds have a low risk of contamination with adequate runoff